

**Remarks/Arguments:**

The above Amendments and these Remarks are in reply to the Final Office Action mailed October 18, 2007.

IDS received 7/30/2007 and 9/26/2007 has been considered. Due to the lengthy IDS submitted, Examiner requests that Applicant point to any known particularly pertinent references.

None of the references cited in the IDS filed on 7/30/2007 and 9/26/2007 appear to be particularly relevant.

Independent claims 14-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because claim limitations are directed towards software per se, which is non-statutory embodiment.

Claim 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claim language recites “a computer data signal embodied in a transmission medium...”

Claims 14 and 21 have been amended to include the feature that “the IDE is implemented using at least one processor and at least one memory”.

For this reason, these claims and their dependent claims are believed to be statutory.

Claim 35 has been amended to be a computer readable storage medium claims.

Claim 8 is objected to. Claim 8 has been amended as suggested.

Claims 1-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. 7,111,243 to Ballard et al., in view of U.S. Patent 7,062,718 B2 to Kodosky et al.

Claims 1, 8, 14, 21, 28 and 35 have been amended to include the feature that “the graphical representation of the control includes a graphical representation of a programmatic interface for the control”. This feature is not shown or made obvious by the cited references.

New claims 36-41 have been added that include the feature that “the control includes methods, wherein the graphical representation of the control includes a graphical representation of the methods”. This feature has been removed from the independent claims.

In light of the above, it is respectfully submitted that all of the claims now pending in the subject patent application should be allowable, and a Notice of Allowance is requested. The Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned if he can assist in any way in expediting issuance of a patent.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any underpayment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 06-1325 for any matter in connection with this response, including any fee for extension of time, which may be required.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: October 30, 2007

By: /Joseph P. O'Malley/  
Joseph P. O'Malley  
Reg. No. 36,226

FLIESLER MEYER LLP  
650 California Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Francisco, California 94108  
Telephone: (415) 362-3800